

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,604	10/724,604 12/02/2003		Masahiro Inoue	Q78683	2598
23373	7590	07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE		PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.	LAI, ANNE VIET NGA		
SUITE 800	BILVAIN	IA A VENUE, N. W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ron, dc	20037	2612		
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/724,604	INOUE, MASAHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anne V. Lai	2612		

Bororo the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Anne V. Lai	2612							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL	olionos with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two menut							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	••								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, ,	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(270) 00 ()						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the						
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be entered and an e	explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-7</u> .									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

A) Regarding the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 10 for the limitation "second power switch" in page 4, lines 12, 14, and 15; the applicant's amendment does not over come the lack of antecedent basis of the limitation.

B) Regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claim 10, applicant argues that Burgess does not teach power up when vibration level is higher than a predetermined level and power down when vibration level is lower than a predetermined level. The examiner disagrees. Burgess teaches the processor 114 is programmed to power up the transmitter at a vibration level higher than three rapid succesive taps on the window, and programmed to power down automatically the transmitter when not in use (col. 2, lines 35-48 and col. 5, lines 21-51). It would have been obvious a vehice even in parked state can receive vibration from road surface due to other vehicles running on the road or from other causes such as rain, wind, etc.; if there is no low level set for the sensitivity of the vibration sensor, then the transmitter mounted vehice would be power-up all the time.

JEFFERY HOFSASS

SUPERVISURY PATER 260